



OFFICE OF THE IQAC
GANGADHAR MEHER UNIVERSITY

AMRUTA VIHAR, SAMBALPUR (ODISHA) - 768004

Minutes of Meeting

A meeting of IQAC Advisory Committee was held on **16th April 2024 at 9:30 am** in the **VC Board Room**. The meeting was presiding over by Honourable Vice Chancellor. The following members were present:

1. Prof. (Dr.) N. Nagaraju, Vice-Chancellor (online mode)
2. Prof. S. K. Das, Chairman, PGC
3. Prof. G. R. Swain, Director, IQAC
4. Sri S. K. Naik, Registrar
5. Sri Jaganath Rout, CoF
6. Sri Kishore Chandra Bohidar, Retired Banker
7. Prof. Kalidas Mishra, Member of the Syndicate
8. Dr. Kamdeb Sau, Retired Reader in English
9. Mr. Ashok Ku. Das, Ph. D. Scholar, School of Library & Information Sc.
10. Prof. Bijaya Laxmi Panigrahi, Professor, Mathematics, Teaching Member
11. Prof. Partha Sarathi Mallik, Professor, Education,
Teaching Member & Convenor Criteria-I
12. Dr. Arabinda Sharma, Convenor, Criteria-II
13. Dr. Pramod Ku. Samal, Convenor, Criteria-III
14. Dr. Meera Swain, Convenor, Criteria-IV
15. Prof. M. Panda, Convenor, Criteria-V
16. Prof. Anjali Tripathy, Convenor, Criteria-VI
17. Dr. Namita Sarangi, Co-Convenor, Criteria-VII

The following resolutions were taken in the meeting:

Criteria – I

1. We have 22 value added courses at completion stage. But we have to do 28 more immediately.
2. We have 15% increases in the new courses. It needs to be increased to 22. So it will be immediate done.
3. The present score of Criteria-I is 350. There is a scope for obtaining full mark in Criteria-I provided all Schools introduce new courses and value-added courses.

Criteria – II

Recommendation received from the members of IQAC Advisory Committee.

1. A meeting has to be convened for Head & IQAC School coordinators of all the Schools to encourage/ guide them to provide necessary documents to support qualitative measure 2.2.1, 2.3.1, 2.3.2.
2. Learning outcome and its assessment has to finalized and place list at appropriate place and website as
3. Calculation CO-PSO-PO attainment has to be done on urgent basis.
4. The committee requested Dr. Sharma to motivate different Schools for introducing activities to support weak students.
5. Examination Automation work and attainment level calculation has to be done urgently.

Criteria – III

1. The research policy documents and IPR policy documents is to be uploaded in the University website.
2. Ph. D. thesis should be published as the book for the purpose of enhancing the marks of the University.
3. Information center and start up is to be initiated.
4. Enhancing the number of book chapters in edited book should be given priority.
5. More number of Institutionalised extension activity to be organized.
6. The Committee members suggested that this Criteria should be given emphasis particularly on support to faculty members to publish articles.
7. The seed money grant should be enhanced. Some of the faculty members did not get it. All the faculty members of the University should get seed money.
8. The seed money grant should be spent for faculty publication and development of research activity in the School.

Criteria – IV

1. Smart Classrooms (now only four) are to be made urgently.
2. Most of the laboratories are in pathetic condition.
3. Audio-visual center, Lecture Capturing System (LCS) and Central Instrumentation Center are to be installed.
4. Measures for History, Anthropology and other School Museums need to be given priority.
5. The computer-student ratio is not up to the mark. We need to procure 700 more computers.
6. Dedicated center for Yoga center to be ensured.

7. Proposal for Theatre is already placed and it has to be worked out.
8. Proposal for Art Gallery is also placed and it has to be worked out.
9. Facilities for e-content and other resource development are required.
10. Adequate indoor sports facilities is also to be ensured.

Criteria – V

1. Scholarship data need to be verified and signed by Registrar.
2. Career Counseling documents to be provided by CDC.
3. Few soft skills & language communication skill Seminar to be conducted by School of English. Nandi Foundation data may be collected.
4. Each School to submit 70% data on total student strength of each year (Student Placement combining Higher Education and competitive examinations). It should include job engagement of student at personal level.
5. Sports data to be verified by Sports Faculty and resubmitted.
6. Student Council be formed (Each year from UG & PG 02 students, 01 male & 01 female). Each School may submit list of Class Representative signed by Head and IQAC School Coordinator.

Criteria – VI

1. University Calendar of last 05 (five) years may be prepared.
2. As in 6.2.2 financial support to teachers to attend seminar/ conference. The expected score is Nil. Action may be taken to improve the score.
3. The University should provide documents which reflect the best practices of the University.
4. IQAC should provide data for last five years regarding steps taken to improve the quality of the University in teaching and research. Data regarding MoUs & Collaborations, Rankings already collected and some quality initiative data of last five months has also collected.

Criteria – VII

1. Many proposals submitted to IQAC by the Convenor but out of which only 08 (eight) proposals has been approved and implements and rest has not been approved.
2. As some of the proposal such as
 - i) Solar Panel
 - ii) Signage
 - iii) LED light
 - iv) Waste Management including (solid, liquid, e-waste, iron, wood and glass)

v) Medicinal Plant

vi) Sports Complex

vii) Open Water Recharge

may be approved as quick as possible, so that at least out of 400 total marks we can achieve 350 marks.

The members suggested that a minimum Grade should be targeted for the betterment of the University. At present the University stands between B and B+.

The meeting ended with a formal Vote of Thanks offered by Prof. Gyanaranjan Swain, Director, IQAC.

Gyanaranjan swain

DIRECTOR, IQAC

**Director, IQAC
Gangadhar Meher University
Sambalpur**